IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE VIRGIN ISLANDS DIVISION OF ST. THOMAS & ST. JOHN

	* 36
IN THE MATTER OF THE ESTATE OF JEFFREY E. EPSTEIN,) PROBATE NO. ST-19-PB-80
Deceased.) ACTION FOR TESTATE) ADMINISTRATION
))

REQUEST FOR RULING ON EXPEDITED MOTION FOR ESTABLISHMENT OF A VOLUNTARY CLAIMS RESOLUTION PROGRAM

COME NOW the Co-Executors of the Estate of Jeffrey E. Epstein (the "Estate"), DARREN K. INDYKE AND RICHARD D. KAHN, and request that this Honorable Court rule on the *Expedited* Motion for Establishment of a Voluntary Claims Resolution Program ("Expedited Motion") filed on November 14, 2019. The grounds for the requested relief are as follows:

- 1. The Expedited Motion requests that the Court enter an Order approving establishment of a proposed Epstein Victims' Compensation Program (the "Program") for the purpose of resolving multiple sexual abuse claims against Jeffrey E. Epstein, deceased.
- The urgency for a ruling on the Expedited Motion cannot be overstated as the window of opportunity to proceed with the proposed Program will likely close without timely action by the Court.
- 3. As explained in the Expedited Motion, it is in the interests of justice and all parties with an interest in the Estate for independent, nationally recognized claims administration experts to design and implement a mass tort-type program, to achieve fair and expedited resolution of sexual abuse claims filed by numerous claimants in stateside

jurisdictions against the Estate. Since the Co-Executors filed the Expedited Motion, five more lawsuits have been filed, bringing to 17 the total number of lawsuits pending against the Estate involving allegations by twenty-six different plaintiffs of Mr. Epstein's sexual abuse. And, last month, attorneys for women who have sued the Estate announced in New York federal court that "[p]otentially dozens" of additional lawsuits can be expected. **EXHIBIT A**, Status Conf. Tr. (Nov. 21, 2019), at 38:7-14.

- 4. As noted in the Expedited Motion, both the Estate and plaintiffs' counsel in the many lawsuits filed against the Co-Executors in their representative capacities have already expended substantial resources, which will mount significantly as the number of lawsuits increases, scheduling orders are entered and discovery commences, as well as the onset of motion practice and other procedural and substantive requirements inherent in complex multi-jurisdictional litigation.
- 5. In a hearing held on November 21, 2019, United States Magistrate Judge Debra C. Freeman of the Southern District of New York, who has been assigned to all pending New York federal lawsuits against the Estate, emphasized that settlement, including through the proposed Program, should be explored and directed that the parties' counsel report back to her about the progress in the U.S. Virgin Islands in adopting the Program. Accordingly, Magistrate Judge Freeman instructed the parties to file by January 10, 2020 a status report concerning settlement, including via the Program, and a plan for moving the cases forward through continued litigation, if necessary. EXHIBIT A, Status Conf. Tr. at 8:12-9:3; 24:1-12; 47:1-22.
- 6. The renowned individuals who agreed to design and administer the Program have already dedicated substantial time and effort to outlining its contours and are prepared

Estate of Jeffrey E. Epstein
Request for Ruling on Expedited Motion for Claims Resolution Program

Probate No. ST-19-PB-80 Page 3

to continue with the next phase of design and implementation of the Program upon this

Court's approval of their retention by the Estate.

7. Should the Court desire additional information, the Program administrator and

designers, as well as the Estate's counsel with full knowledge of the proposed Program

and the need for its near-term implementation, would be pleased to appear promptly in

the Virgin Islands to answer any questions the Court may have about the Program.

8. On or about November 19, 2019, Claimant Jennifer Danielle Araoz filed a Response to

Executors' Expedited Motion for Establishment of Voluntary Claims Resolution

Program, in which she (a) informed the Court that she has no objection to a voluntary

program for victims of Mr. Epstein who want to participate, and (b) without citing any

binding legal authority, objected to funding of the claims resolution program through

distribution of the Estate's assets until such time as all claims are resolved. No other

response to the Expedited Motion has been filed.

9. Given the time-sensitive nature of the Expedited Motion and prejudice to claimants and

the Estate in not timely resolving claims, we request on behalf of the Co-Executors that

the Court grant the Expedited Motion forthwith.

Respectfully,

Dated: December 4, 2019

CHRISTOPHER ALLEN KROBLIN, ESQ.

ANDREW W. HEYMANN, ESQ.

WILLIAM L. BLUM, ESQ.

SHARI N. D'ANDRADE, ESQ.

MARJORIE WHALEN, ESO.

V.I. Bar Nos. 136, 966, 1221 & R2019

Page 4

KELLERHALS FERGUSON KROBLIN PLLC Royal Palms Professional Building 9053 Estate Thomas, Suite 101 St. Thomas, V.I. 00802 Telephone: (340) 779-2564

Facsimile: (888) 316-9269 Email: ckroblin@kellfer.com

aheymann@solblum.com wblum@solblum.com sdandrade@kellfer.com mwhalen@kellfer.com

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK		
3		;	
4	DOE 1, et al.,	: 19-CV-07675 (GBD) :	
5	Plaintiffs,	:	
6	v.	: 500 Pearl Street	
7		: 500 Pearl Street : New York, New York :	
8	Defendants.	: November 21, 2019 X	
9	VE,	:	
10	Plaintiff,	: 19-CV-07625 (AJN)	
11	v.	:	
12	NINE EAST 71st STREET, et al.,	:	
13	Defendants. :		
14	TRANSCRIPT OF CIVIL CAUSE FOR CONFERENCE BEFORE THE HONORABLE DEBRA C. FREEMAN		
15			
16	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE	JUDGE	
17			
18	APPEARANCES:		
19	For Jane Doe 1: ARICK W. FUDALI The Bloom Firm		
20	85 Delancey Str New York, New Y		
21			
22	[Appearances continue next page.]		
23	m.		
24		Processing Service	
25	211 N. Milton R Saratoga Spring	oad s, New York 12866	
	Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording, transcript produced by transcription service		



appearance list, and so there's a third one.

THE COURT: Do you have the docket number on that one, the case number on that one?

MS. WANG: Yes, we do. My colleague does.

THE COURT: It's 19-CV something.

MS. WANG: Yes. It is 10788.

THE COURT: Okay. Can anyone else give me any ideas to roughly how many more may be coming down the pike?

MR. EDWARDS: Your Honor, Brad Edwards. Potentially dozens more cases could be filed. I'm just not sure whether they're going to be filed here or potentially in the Virgin Islands or Florida. So it's tough to --

THE COURT: Through your firm?

MR. EDWARDS: Through our firm.

THE COURT: Okay. I would just urge that if you're anticipating filing more cases, you try to get on with it and not have this continuing sort of trickle of cases because especially if we start setting schedules for things, I'm trying to have some consistency on how cases are handled. If one comes in a month down the road, another one comes in two months down the road, another one comes in three months down the road, you're not going to be on the same schedule.

MR. EDWARDS: Your Honor, I'll tell you that Mr.

Moskowitz and I have been conferring pretty thoroughly on
issues including the anonymity issue. And one thing that we

things in individual ways sometimes. And just be aware
dispositive motions will go in front of them unless they are
specifically referred to me. And if any order of reference is
narrow, you have to look at it, see what it says, and
understand that's the particular reason it's before me. All
right?

So with that said, I nonetheless wanted to give notice to anyone who's on these cases that I was having this conference so that you could be informed, so that you could be present if you have an interest, and you could hear what's said. So that's number one.

Number two, I have seen in the press and in some of the correspondence that was put in front of the Court in one of the cases that there's some talk about the estate working toward coming up with a settlement idea, concept, a plan for trying to create a fund or do something. I've also seen some murmurings, I don't know if they're true or not, that not all of the plaintiffs' attorneys might be fully on board with the process that's being set up which may not have been involving them.

So I'd like to understand what is going on on that front. And if it is possible to have these cases put on a settlement track, I'd like to make sure that everyone's on board with the process of developing a plan for that and to get a feel for what it's going to entail, potential time line

issues that are involved so that we can first see before we get into discovery issues other kinds of squabbles, see if in fact settlement is possible and we can move toward that.

So let me start on defendant's side since rumor has it, and see what you have to say on that.

MR. MOSKOWITZ: Good morning, Your Honor. Would you like me to stand or --

THE COURT: It's okay.

MR. MOSKOWITZ: I'm happy to. I'm glad you raised this because one of the things I wanted to bring to Your Honor's attention is that having assumed, although I don't like assumptions, that you may have seen in the press that there is some kind of a claims program, I wanted to actually take the opportunity today to explain to you briefly what is going on. And since you asked specifically, I'll start there.

extraordinary opportunity that has already started that can lead to an alternative to all this litigation. A lot of people here as you can see. And this would be an extraordinary opportunity also to conserve party and judicial resources. And what happened is almost a week ago exactly the co-executors, and this is probably some of the stories you have seen, filed through counsel, not us, through estate counsel in US Virgin Islands where the will is being probated,

THE COURT: All right. What I'd like to do with 1 2 respect to settlement is have an update after there's been a 3 period of time when everyone has been conferring and plaintiffs have been at the table through their counsel to 5 give me just a status report on how that's going and whether it's looking promising or whether it's not from anyone's point of view. And that doesn't mean other things can't be handled 7 8 on a parallel track. But I think that settlement is an 9 important track. Plaintiffs are clearly interested. 10 Defendants are clearly interested. And when everyone's interested in settlement, seems to me that's the first thing 11 12 you want to all try to do. But it's got to really be a 13 process where everyone really has seats at the table, it's not 14 just lip service to seats at the table, okay, to discuss any 15 and everything that seems important to that process including, 16 you know, how much is in a fund and including, you know, what 17 is in this estate and not, so that plaintiffs understand, it's almost like early disclosure of an insurance policy in a case. 18 19 Well, what is there? Right. That's one thing that's under 20 Rule 26(a), a part of it, is to understand on plaintiff's side what resources are there that are available towards 21 22 settlement. That's one reason why that information becomes 23 important. 24 All right. I'm going to move on from that and we'll

24 All right. I'm going to move on from that and we'll 25 talk about timing at the end with respect to anything and

January 10, I'm basically thinking kicking it more than 30 days because of the holidays. That's why January 10. But what I'm thinking ought to happen between now and then, I give it to you for serious consideration, is you folks ought to schedule a meeting without me. You ought to schedule a meeting with the claims administrators and with counsel in these cases on both sides. And you ought to sit around a table and you ought to talk about all the questions and get as many answers as you can get and try to gain some confidence that you are all participating and your views are being taken seriously and valued. And that's what I think you ought to do because in settlement discussions that's what happens. People talk outside of the court about things and try to advance the ball. And you do have a lot of people. And if you talk one on one, then you have another one on one with a different lawyer and the message is heard differently or different questions are asked and answered, you know, you're going to have some confusion reigning. So I would strongly recommend we not use the courtroom for that meeting at this stage but that you see if you can set one up and literally bring people to the table as a settlement discussion. And then when you report back, you'll have something to report back on. Yes? MR. BOIES: Your Honor, David Boies. completely with the Court we need to meet among ourselves. You got good counsel on both sides here. I think that we can

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25